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## Logistics

## Reading

TBD
Goals

- Notation and Mechanics of Operational Semantics
- A few Applications
- Practice Problems


## Assignments

- Project 6 Posted: Lambda Calculus Interpreter, Due 15-Nov
- Lexer / Parser
- Evaluator with...
- Eager + Lazy Reductions
- Exam 2 Thursday
- OCaml coding
- Lexing/Parsing/Eval
- Operational Semantics


## OCaml Practicum?

Finishing up Operational Semantics Discussion is the priority right now but time permitting, may post a video of solving a practical problem in OCaml.
Though there are other, Rusty, fish to fry...
How many of you viewed / benefited from the Python Practicum video?

## Announcements

Exam 2 Review Session
"We will be hosting an exam 2 review session Tuesday 07-Nov at 6pm in IRB0324."
https://piazza.com/class/lkimk0rc39wfi/post/1463

## Semantics Informally and Formally

semantics (noun): The branch of linguistics and logic concerned with meaning. There are a number of branches and subbranches of semantics, including formal semantics, which studies the logical aspects of meaning,

Natural Languages

- Populations of humans ascribe a shared meaning to words
- Meanings vary according to population and period


## Programming Language Semantics

What does the following syntax DO in language $X$ ?

## Informal Semantics

- Creator of Language $X$ describes in words what its syntax does
- Write a parser + interpreter / compiler that reflects that meaning
- May add features, update, alter semantics

Python 2005: print "Hello!" prints Hello!
Python 2009: print "Hello!" prints Syntax Error

## Formal Semantics

- Attempts to describe with some mathematical rigor the meaning of Programming language statements
- Comes in several flavors, equipped with jargon / notation
- Useful to quickly describe to humans small features of languages for comparison
- Used by some in proofs about properties of languages and programs in those languages, also to guide development of language interpreters


## Operational Semantics

- Several flavors of Formal Semantics exist of which Operational Semantics (OpSem) is one
- OpSem focuses on relating syntax of language to behavior of an abstract machine
- High variance on which machine to target, how machine operations are described, etc.
- Provide actual assembly instructions
- Describe instructions in an abstract machine
- Describe what would happen in another PL
- Describe in English sentences what is happening
- Referred to as the Meta-Language: description of what the target language does
- The persistent character is usually the notation used which is new and takes some getting used to


## OpSem Notation

- Specifics of notation for OpSem vary
- Will turn to some standing slides for CMSC330 for the moment to ensure compatibility with Prof Bakalian's treatment
- Posted as "Reference Slides", come from Spring 2021 Offering of CMSC330 with other materials here:
https://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2021/cmsc330/


## L'Maco: Practice with OpSem

L'Maco has familiar ideas with slightly unfamiliar syntax

Sample Expressions
add 5 and 2

```
with 7 as z
add z and 2
```

with add 1 and 2 as x
with add x and 7 as y
add x and y

## CFG for L'Maco

$W \rightarrow$ with $E$ as $V W$
$E \rightarrow C|V|$ add $E$ and $E$
$V \rightarrow$ variable name
$C \rightarrow$ constant number

## L'Maco with Environments

The following (with) and (add) rules specify the semantics of L'Maco using Environments;
A; with E1 as V E2 => N2
A; E1 $=>\mathrm{N} 1$ A; E2=>N2 N1+N2 is N3
------------------------------------ (add)
A; add E1 and E2 => N3

```
--------------------------- (with)
```

```
--------------------------- (with)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(x)=>v \\
& ------\quad \text { (var-lookup) } \\
& A ; x=>v
\end{aligned}
$$

---- (constants)

$$
C=>C
$$

Note use of environments: (with) rule allows extension of environments with new bindings

## Exercise: L'Maco Big Derivation

Fill in the first step in this derivation
Hint: work left to right. . .
????????
????????????????
[] ; with add 1 and 2 as $x$ with add $x$ and 7 as $y$ add $x$ and $y=>13$
Reference Rules
$\begin{array}{ll}A ; E 1=>N 1 \quad A, V: N 1 ; E 2 \Rightarrow N 2 & A ; E 1=>N 1 \quad A ; E 2=>N 2 \text { N1+N2 is N3 } \\ \text { A; with E1 as V E2 } \Rightarrow \text { N2 } & \text { (with) } \\ \text { A; add E1 and E2 } \Rightarrow \text { N3 }\end{array}$

## Answers: L'Maco Big Derivation

- According to the CFG syntax, the (with)-rule is applicable first
- Matches the general idea of "bind name, use name"
- Leads to the first steps in the derivation tree

```
[]; add 1 and 2=>3 [x:3]; with add x and 7 as y add x and y =>13
```



```
    []; with add 1 and 2 as x with add x and 7 as y add x and y =>13
Complete the Left Branch with the (add) rule
Reference Rules
A;E1 \(=>\mathrm{N} 1\) A,V:N1; E2 \(=>\) N2
A; with E1 as V E2 \(=>\) N2
```


## Answers: L'Maco Big Derivation Left Branch

```
==== ====
1=>1 2=>2 3 is 1+2
==================
```

[] ; add 1 and $2=>3 \quad[x: 3]$; with add $x$ and 7 as $y$ add $x$ and $y=13$
$==========================================================================$
[] ; with add 1 and 2 as $x$ with add $x$ and 7 as $y$ add $x$ and $y=13$
Complete the Right Branch
It's of some girth but starts with another (with)

## Reference Rules



## Answers: L'Maco Big Derivation

```
========== ==== ================ ==================
[x:3] x=>3 7=>7 10 is 3+7 [x:3,y:10] x=>3 [x:3,y:10] y=>10 13 is 3+10
==========================
        [x:3] add x and 7=>10
        =============================================
        [x:3,y:10]; add x and y=>13
================== ======================================================================
[] ; add 1 and 2=>3
    [x:3]; with add }\textrm{x}\mathrm{ and 7 as y add }\textrm{x}\mathrm{ and y =>13
```



```
[] ; with add 1 and 2 as \(x\) with add \(x\) and 7 as \(y\) add \(x\) and \(y=13\)
```

