

CSCI 2021: x86-64 Assembly Extras and Wrap

Chris Kauffman

*Last Updated:
Tue Oct 22 09:04:57 AM EDT 2024*

Logistics

Reading Bryant/O'Hallaron

Read in Full

- ▶ Ch 3.7 Procedure Calls
- ▶ Ch 3.8-3.9: Arrays, Structs

Skim the following

- ▶ Ch 3.10: Pointers/Security
- ▶ Ch 3.11: Floating Point

Next

- ▶ Ch 8: Processes in Unix

Goals

- ▶ Global Data / Structs / Arrays in Assembly
- ▶ Security Concerns
- ▶ Begin discussion of Processes

Schedule

Date	Events
Mon 21-Oct	Dis: Lab08 Demo on Caller/Callee Regs Lab07/HW07 Due
Tue 22-Oct	Lec: Assembly Wrap, Unix Processes
Wed 23-Oct	Dis: Open Office Hours
Thu 24-Oct	Lec: Unix Processes
Mon 28-Oct	Dis: Open Office Hours P3 Due, Lab08 Due
Tue 29-Oct	Lec: Practice Exam 2B
Wed 30-Oct	Dis: Review for Exam 2 P3 Late Deadline
Thu 31-Oct	Exam 2

Announcements



If you are eligible to vote. . .

- ▶ Commit to a plan: what day, what time, where will you vote?
- ▶ Pester you friends and neighbors about their plan
- ▶ Early voting in Maryland runs Thu 24-Oct to Thu 31-Oct
- ▶ **Election day is Tue 05-Nov**
- ▶ UMD Voting Information: <https://terpsvote.umd.edu/>

If you are not eligible to vote, ask your colleagues about their plans and what's important to them as they cast their ballot

We are at an institution funded by the people, for the people. Vote to help determine the future people that follow will experience.

Reminders of Techniques for Puzzlebin

GDB Tricks from Quick Guide to GDB

Command	Effect
<code>break *0x1248f2</code>	Break at specific instruction address
<code>break *func+24</code>	Break at instruction with decimal offset from a label
<code>break *func+0x18</code>	Break at instruction with hex offset from a label
<code>p \$rax</code>	<i>print</i> : Print value in register <code>rax</code>
<code>x \$rax</code>	<i>examine</i> : Print memory pointed at by register <code>rax</code>
<code>x /gx \$rax</code>	Print as "giant" 64-bit numbers in hexadecimal format
<code>x /5gd \$rax</code>	Print 5 64-bit numbers starting where <code>rax</code> points in decimal format

Disassembling Binaries: `objdump -d prog > code.txt`

```
>> objdump -d a.out # DISASSEMBLE BINARY
0000000000001119 <main>:
   1119:    48 c7 c0 00 00 00    mov     $0x0,%rax
   1120:    48 c7 c1 01 00 00    mov     $0x1,%rcx
   1127:    48 c7 c2 64 00 00    mov     $0x64,%rdx
000000000000112e <LOOP>:
   112e:    48 39 d1             cmp     %rdx,%rcx
   1131:    7f 08               jg     113b <END>
   1133:    48 01 c8             add     %rcx,%rax
...
>> objdump -d a.out > code.txt # STORE RESULTS IN FILE
```

Accessing Global Variables in Assembly

Global data can be set up in assembly in `.data` sections with labels and assembler directives like `.int` and `.short`

```
.data
an_int:          # single int
                .int 17
some_shorts:    # array of shorts
                .short 10 # some_shorts[0]
                .short 12 # some_shorts[1]
                .short 14 # some_shorts[2]
```

Modern Access to Globals

```
movl an_int(%rip), %eax
leaq some_shorts(%rip), %rdi
```

- ▶ Uses `%rip` relative addressing
- ▶ Default in `gcc` as it plays nice with OS security features
- ▶ May discuss again later during Linking/ELF coverage

Traditional Access to Globals

```
movl an_int, %eax      # ERROR
leaq (some_shorts), %rdi # ERROR
```

- ▶ Not accepted by `gcc` by default
- ▶ Yields compile/link errors

```
/usr/bin/ld: /tmp/ccocSiv5.o:
relocation R_X86_64_32S against `'.data'
can not be used when making a PIE object;
recompile with -fPIE
```

Aggregate Data In Assembly (Arrays + Structs)

Arrays

Usually: $\text{base} + \text{index} \times \text{size}$

```
arr[i] = 12;
```

```
movl $12, (%rdi,%rsi,4)
```

```
int x = arr[j];
```

```
movl (%rdi,%rcx,4),%r8d
```

- ▶ Array starting address often held in a register
- ▶ Index often in a register
- ▶ Compiler inserts appropriate size (1,2,4,8)

Structs

Usually $\text{base} + \text{offset}$

```
typedef struct {  
    int i; short s;  
    char c[2];
```

```
} foo_t;
```

```
foo_t *f = ...;
```

```
short sh = f->s;
```

```
movw 4(%rdi),%si
```

```
f->c[i] = 'X';
```

```
movb $88, 6(%rdi,%rax)
```

Packed Structures as Procedure Arguments

- ▶ Passing pointers to structs is 'normal': registers contain addresses to main memory
- ▶ Passing actual structs may result in *packed structs* where several fields are in a single register
- ▶ Assembly must *unpack* these through **shifts and masking**

```
1 // packed_struct_main.c
2 typedef struct {
3     short first;
4     short second;
5 } twoshort_t;
6
7 short sub_struct(twoshort_t ti);
8
9 int main(){
10     twoshort_t ts = {.first=10,
11                     .second=-2};
12     int sum = sub_struct(ts);
13     printf("%d - %d = %d\n",
14           ts.first, ts.second, sum);
15     return 0;
16 }
```

```
1 ### packed_struct.s
2 .text
3 .globl sub_struct
4 sub_struct:
5     ## first arg is twoshort_t ts
6     ## %rdi has 2 packed shorts in it
7     ## bits 0-15 are ts.first
8     ## bits 16-31 are ts.second
9     ## upper bits could be anything
10
11     movl %edi,%eax      # eax = ts;
12     andl $0xFFFF,%eax  # eax = ts.first;
13     sarl $16,%edi       # edi = edi >> 16;
14     andl $0xFFFF,%edi  # edi = ts.second;
15     subw %di,%ax        # ax = ax - di
16     ret                # answer in ax
```

Example: coins_t in Lab06

```
// Type for collections of coins
typedef struct { // coint_t has the following memory layout
    char quarters; //
    char dimes; // | | Pointer | Packed | Packed |
    char nickels; // | | Memory | Struct | Struct |
    char pennies; // | Field | Offset | Arg# | Bits |
} coins_t; // |-----+-----+-----+-----|
// | quarters | +0 | #1 | 0-7 |
// | dimes | +1 | #1 | 8-15 |
// | nickels | +2 | #1 | 16-23 |
// | pennies | +3 | #1 | 24-31 |

## | #2048 | c->quarters | 2 |
## | #2049 | c->dimes | 1 |
## | #2050 | c->nickels | - |
## | #2051 | c->pennies | - |

set_coins:
### int set_coins(int cents, coins_t *coins)
### %edi = int cents
### %rsi = coints_t *coins
...
# rsi: #2048
# al: 0 %dl: 3
movb %al,2(%rsi) # coins->nickels = al;
movb %dl,3(%rsi) # coins->pennies = dl;

## | #2048 | c->quarters | 2 |
## | #2049 | c->dimes | 1 |
## | #2050 | c->nickels | 0 |
## | #2051 | c->pennies | 3 |

total_coins:
### args are
### %rdi packed coin_t struct with struct fields
### { 0- 7: quarters, 8-15: dimes,
### 16-23: nickels, 24-31: pennies}
...
### rdi: 0x00 00 00 00 03 00 01 02
### p n d q
movq %rdi,%rdx # extract dimes
### rdx: 0x00 00 00 00 03 00 01 02
### p n d q
sarq $8,%rdx # shift dimes to low bits
### rdx: 0x00 00 00 00 00 03 00 01
### p n d
andq $0xFF,%rdx # rdx = dimes
### rdx: 0x00 00 00 00 00 00 00 01
### p n d
```


Large Packed Structs

- ▶ Large structs that don't fit into single registers may be packed across several argument registers

```
typedef struct{
    int    day_secs; // 4
    short time_secs; // 2
    short time_mins; // 2
    short time_hours; // 2
    char  ampm;      // 1+1 pad
} tod_t;           // 12 bytes
```

```
int set_display_from_tod(tod_t tod, ...)
// ~~~ Large packed struct
```

C Field Access	Register	Bits in reg	Shift Required	Size
tod.day_secs	%rdi	0-31	None	4 bytes
tod.time_secs	%rdi	32-47	Right by 32	2 bytes
tod.time_mins	%rdi	48-63	Right by 48	2 bytes
tod.time_hours	%rsi	0-15	None	2 bytes
tod.ampm	%rsi	16-23	Right by 16	1 bytes

At a certain size, compiler stores Very Large packed structs in the stack and passes pointers to it to functions

General Cautions on Structs

Struct Layout by Compilers

- ▶ Compiler honors order of source code fields in struct
- ▶ BUT compiler may add padding between/after fields for alignment
- ▶ Compiler determines total struct size

Struct Layout Algorithms

- ▶ Baked into compiler
- ▶ **May change from compiler to compiler**
- ▶ May change through history of compiler

Structs in Mem/Regs

- ▶ Local var structs spread across several registers
- ▶ Don't need a struct on the stack at all in some cases (just like don't need local variables on stack)
- ▶ Struct arguments packed into 1+ registers

Stay Insulated

- ▶ Programming in C insulates you from all of this
- ▶ Feel the **warmth** of gcc's abstraction blanket

Security Risks in C

Buffer Overflow Attacks

- ▶ No default bounds checking in C: Performance favored over safety
- ▶ Allows classic security flaws:

```
char buf[1024];  
printf("Enter you name:");  
fscanf(file,"%s",buf); // BAD  
// or  
gets(buf); // BAD  
// my name is 1500 chars  
// long, what happens?
```

- ▶ For data larger than buf, begin overwriting other parts of the stack
 - ▶ Clobber return addresses
 - ▶ Insert executable code and run it

Counter-measures

- ▶ **Stack protection** is default in gcc in the modern era
- ▶ Inserts “canary” values on the stack near return address
- ▶ Prior to function return, checks that canaries are unchanged
- ▶ **Stack / Text Section Start randomized** by kernel, return address and function addresses difficult to predict ahead of time
- ▶ Kernel may also vary virtual memory address as well
- ▶ Disabling protections is risky

Stack Smashing

- ▶ Explored in a recent homework
- ▶ See `stack_smash.c` for a similar example
- ▶ Demonstrates detection of changes to stack that could be harmful / security threat

```
// stack_smash.c
void demo(){
    int arr[4];    // fill array off the end
    for(int i=0; i<8; i++){
        arr[i] = (i+1)*2;
    }

    for(int i=0; i<8; i++){
        printf("[%d]: %d\n",i,arr[i]);
    }
}

int main(){
    printf("About to do the demo\n");
    demo();
    printf("Demo Complete\n");
    return 0;
}
```

```
> cd 08-assembly-extras-code/
> gcc stack_smash1.c
> ./a.out
About to do the demo
[0]: 2
[1]: 4
[2]: 6
...
[7]: 16
*** stack smashing detected ***:
terminated
Aborted (core dumped)
```

Demonstration of Buffer Overflow Attack

- ▶ See the code `buffer_overflow.c`
- ▶ Presents an easier case to demo stack manipulations
- ▶ Prints addresses of functions `main()` and `never()`
- ▶ Reads long values which are 64-bits, easier to line up data in stack than with strings; still overflowing the buffer by reading too much data as in:

```
void always(){
    long buf[1] = {0xABCD};           // room for 1
    ...
    printf("Enter 4 hex values: ");
    fscanf(stdin, "%lx %lx %lx %lx", // reads 4
           &buf[0], &buf[1], &buf[2], &buf[3]);
```

- ▶ When compiled via

```
>> gcc -fno-stack-protector buffer_overflow.c
```

can get `never()` to run by entering its address as input which will overwrite the return address

Sample Buffer Overflow Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
void print_all_passwords(){
    ...
}
int main(){
    printf("file to open: ");
    char buf[128];
    fscanf(stdin, "%s", buf);
    printf("You entered: %s\n", buf);

    ...;
    return 0;
    // By entering the correct length of string followed by the ASCII
    // representation of the address of print_all_passwords(), one might
    // be able to get that function when "return" is reached if there
    // are no stack protection mechanisms at work ...
    // (which was the case in 1999 on Windows :-)
```

```
}
```

Details of GCC / Linux Stack Security

- ▶ Programs compiled with GCC + Glibc on Linux for x86-64 will default to having stack protection
- ▶ This can be seen in compiled code as short blocks near the beginning and end of functions which
 1. At the beginning of the function uses an instruction like `movq %fs:40, %rax` and places a value in the stack beneath the return address
 2. At the end of the function again accesses `%fs:40` and the value earlier placed in the stack.
- ▶ The `%fs` register is a special **segment register** originally introduced in the 16-bit era to surmount memory addressing limitations; now used only for limited purposes
- ▶ The complete details are beyond the scope of our course BUT
- ▶ **A somewhat detailed explanation has been added to** 08-assembly-extras-code/stack_protect.org

Floating Point Operations

- ▶ Original Intel 8086 Processor **didn't do floating point ops**
- ▶ Had to buy a co-processor (Intel 8087) to enable FP ops
- ▶ Most modern CPUs support FP ops but they feel separate from the integer ops: FPU versus ALU

x86-64 "Media" Registers

512	256	128-bits	Use
%zmm0	%ymm0	%xmm0	FP Arg1/Ret
%zmm1	%ymm1	%xmm1	FP Arg2
...
%zmm7	%ymm7	%xmm7	FP Arg 8
%zmm8	%ymm8	%xmm8	Caller Save
...
%zmm15	%ymm15	%xmm15	Caller Save

- ▶ Can be used as "scalars" - single values but...
- ▶ `xmmI` is 128 bits big holding
 - ▶ 2 x 64-bit double's OR
 - ▶ 4 x 32-bit float's
- ▶ `ymmI` / `zmmI` extend further

Instructions

```
vaddss %xmm2,%xmm4,%xmm0
# xmm0[0] = xmm2[0] + xmm4[0]
# Add Scalar Single-Precision
```

```
vaddps %xmm2,%xmm4,%xmm0
# xmm0[:] = xmm2[:] + xmm4[:]
# Add Packed Single-Precision
# "Vector" Instruction
```

- ▶ Operates on single values or "vectors" of packed values
- ▶ 3-operands common in more "modern" assembly languages

Example: float_ops.c to Assembly

```
// float_ops.c: original C Code
void array_add(float *arr1, float *arr2, int len){
    for(int i=0; i<len; i++){
        arr1[i] += arr2[i];
    }
}
```

```
# >> gcc -S -Og float_ops.c
# Minimal optimizations
array_add:  ## 16 lines asm
```

```
.LFB0:
    .cfi_startproc
    movl  $0, %eax
    jmp  .L2
.L3:
    movslq  %eax, %r8
    leaq  (%rdi,%r8,4), %rcx
    movss  (%rsi,%r8,4), %xmm0
    addss  (%rcx), %xmm0  ## add single
    movss  %xmm0, (%rcx)  ## single prec
    addl  $1, %eax
.L2:
    cmpl  %edx, %eax
    jl  .L3
    ret
```

```
# >> gcc -S -O3 -mavx float_ops.c
# Max optimizations, Use AVX hardware
array_add:  ## 100 lines asm
```

```
...
.L5:      ## vector move/adds
    vmovups  (%rcx,%rdx), %ymm1
    vaddps  (%rsi,%rdx), %ymm1, %ymm0
    vmovups  %ymm0, (%rcx,%rdx)
    addq  $32, %rdx
    cmpq  %rdi, %rdx
    jne  .L5
...
.L9:      ## single move/adds
    vmovss  (%rcx,%rax), %xmm0
    vaddss  (%rsi,%rax), %xmm0, %xmm0
    vmovss  %xmm0, (%rcx,%rax)
    addq  $4, %rax
    cmpq  %rax, %rdx
    jne  .L9
    ret
```

Floating Point and ALU Conversions

- ▶ Recall that bit layout of Integers and Floating Point numbers are quite different (**how?**)
- ▶ Leads to a series of assembly instructions to interconvert between types

```
# file:float_convert.c

# int eax = ...;
# double xmm0 = (double) eax;
cvtsi2sdl    %eax, %xmm0

# double xmm1 = ...
# long rcx = (long) xmm1;
cvttsd2siq   %xmm0, %rax
```

- ▶ These are non-trivial conversions: 5-cycle latency (delay) before completion, can have a performance impact on code which does conversions

Optional Exercise: All Models are Wrong...

- ▶ Rule #1: The Doctor Lies
- ▶ Below is our original model for memory layout of C programs
- ▶ Describe what is **incorrect** based on x86-64 assembly
- ▶ What is **actually** in the stack? How are registers likely used?

```

    9: int main(...){
    10:   int x = 19;
    11:   int y = 31;
+<-12:   swap(&x, &y);
|  13:   printf("%d %d\n",x,y);
|  14:   return 0;
V 15: }
|
|  18: void swap(int *a,int *b){
+>-19:   int tmp = *a;
    20:   *a = *b;
    21:   *b = tmp;
    22:   return;
    23: }
```

STACK: Caller main(), prior to swap()			
FRAME	ADDR	NAME	VALUE
-----+-----+-----+-----			
main()	#2048	x	19
line:12	#2044	y	31
-----+-----+-----+-----			

STACK: Callee swap() takes control			
FRAME	ADDR	NAME	VALUE
-----+-----+-----+-----			
main()	#2048	x	19
line:12	#2044	y	31
-----+-----+-----+-----			
swap()	#2036	a	#2048
line:19	#2028	b	#2044
	#2024	tmp	?

Answers: All Models are Wrong, Some are Useful

```

    9: int main(...){
    10:   int x = 19;
    11:   int y = 31;
+<12:   swap(&x, &y);
| 13:   printf("%d %d\n",x,y);
| 14:   return 0;
V 15: }
|
| 18: void swap(int *a,int *b){
+>19:   int tmp = *a;
    20:   *a = *b;
    21:   *b = tmp;
    22:   return;
    23: }
```

```

STACK: Callee swap() takes control
| FRAME | ADDR | NAME | VALUE |
|-----+-----+-----+-----|
| main() | #2048 | x    | 19   |
|         | #2044 | y    | 31   |
|-----+-----+-----+-----|
| swap() | #2036 | rip  | Line 13|
|-----+-----+-----+-----|
```

REGS as swap() starts

```

| REG | VALUE | NOTE |
|-----+-----+-----|
| rdi | #2048 | for *a |
| rsi | #2044 | for *b |
| rax | ?    | for tmp |
| rip | L19  | line in swap |
```

- ▶ `main()` must have stack space for locals passed by address
- ▶ `swap()` needs no stack space for arguments: in registers
- ▶ Return address is next value of `rip` register in `main()`
- ▶ Mostly don't need to think at this level of detail but **can be useful in some situations**